



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,842	08/22/2002	Craig E. Burch	201-1581	3123

28787 7590 09/02/2003

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
39577 WOODWARD AVENUE
SUITE 300
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304

EXAMINER

ESHETE, ZELALEM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3748

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/064,842	Applicant(s) BURCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Zelalem Eshete	Art Unit 3748	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,2,8,13,14,16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendriksma et al. (6,591,798).

Regarding claims 1,8: Hendriksma discloses a camshaft bearing ladder and a method (see numeral 10), comprising: a first body with an aperture to facilitate threaded connection of the body to a cylinder head (see figure 3, numeral 94) with a cut out for receiving a cam shaft (see figure 4, numeral 90), the first body also having a pocket (see numeral 87); and a solenoid actuator (see numeral 86) positioned within the pocket for activating a switchable rocker arm assembly (see figure 1; column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 2; column 5, lines 16 to 18).

Regarding claim 2: Hendriksma discloses a plurality of solenoid actuators (see figures 2,3)

Regarding claim 13: Hendriksma discloses the claimed invention as cited above for rejection of claims 1 and 8 above; and further discloses the actuator system to be used in internal combustion engine which inherently comprises a combustion chamber; a head with a passageway (air passageways) fluidly connected with the chamber, and a valve (valves) controlling fluid communication between the chamber and the passageway (passageways). He also discloses a rocker arm for actuating for actuating the valve (see numeral 16), the rocker arm having first and second modes of operation of the valve (see numerals 32 and 38); the solenoid actuator for actuating the rocker arm between the first and second modes of operation (see column 5, lines 43 to 45).

Regarding claim 14: Hendriksma discloses the bearing cap ladder that is connected with a second solenoid which actuates a second rocker arm assembly (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 16: Hendricksma discloses the second solenoid actuates a rocker arm assembly actuated by a cam shaft common with other rocker arm assembly (see figures 3,4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3748

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendriksma (6,591,798) in view of legal precedent.

Hendriksma discloses the claimed invention except it fails to disclose a plurality of cutouts for receiving a plurality of camshafts.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the design principle that is disclosed for a singular cutout/camshaft to a plurality of cutouts/camshafts, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

5. Claims 4,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendriksma (6,591,798) in view of Jahr (6,318,318).

Hendricksma discloses the claimed invention except it lacks the specification of the solenoid actuator being encapsulated by a polymeric material or epoxy resin.

However, Jahr discloses a core protected by a polymeric plastic encapsulation (see column 5, lines 19-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solenoid of Hendricksma by encapsulating it with a polymeric material as taught by Jahr in order to protect the solenoid. It would also have been obvious to choose epoxy resin for it is a strong material as is known by one having ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 5-7,11,12,17-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendriksma (6,591,798) in view of Yoeda et al. (6,405,693).

Regarding claims 5-7,11,12,17-19: Hendriksma discloses the claimed invention as recited for rejection of claims 1,9,13,14 above and; further discloses the use of an electrically actuated solenoid to actuate the valve mechanism that is placed within the cavity of the "cap ladder" (see numerals 10,86; column 5, lines 17 to 19).

Hendriksma fails to disclose the specification of the solenoid with leads "sealably connected" (pass through connector passing through a cam cover) that is connected with an integrated circuit board (encapsulated) or "printed circuit board" connected with the bearing cap ladder.

However, Yoeda discloses a control mechanism for controlling valve of internal combustion engine (see figure 4) that shows the use of solenoid (see numerals 30,31) in connection with driving circuit (see numerals 30b,31b) that is controlled by the external output circuit (see numeral 406) of the ECU (see numeral 20).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to devise a controller by implementing the circuit connections with the solenoid as taught by Yoeda; for the electrically actuated solenoid in order to energize the solenoid as taught by Hendriksma. It would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use pass through connector or "sealed connection" or "encapsulation" by passing through the cam cover in order to protect the electrical wires that connect the solenoid (within the cam cover) with the electronic circuits (outside the cam cover) for one having ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 20: This is the combination of claims 1, 3, 5, 13,14,19 drafted in independent form. For the reasons set forth in the rejections of claims 1, 3, 5, 13,14,19 above, such would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Zelalem Eshete
Examiner
Art Unit 3748

Z


THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700